

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

BRIAN TROY WOLTZ,
Plaintiff,

v.

SUSAN GOOD, et al.,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 24-CV-6296

ORDER

AND NOW, this 13th day of December, 2024, upon consideration of Plaintiff Brian Troy Woltz’s Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 1), *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 2), Supplemental Affidavit (ECF No. 4), and Motion for Clarification of Service (ECF No. 7), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
2. The Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
3. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to add as Defendants ADA Tim McCartney and “Judicial officers, court administrators, and other agents of the Court of Common Pleas of Bucks County.”
4. The Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for the reasons in the Court’s Memorandum as follows:
 - a. The request for a writ *quo warranto* is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of standing.
 - b. All other federal law claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** with the exception of Woltz’s § 1983 claims for money damages.

- c. Woltz's § 1983 claims for money damages and all state law claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
5. The Motion for Clarification of Service is **DENIED** as moot.
6. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Kai N. Scott

KAI N. SCOTT, J.